

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,921	02/10/2006	Taro Kurita	284921US6PCT	5890
22850	7590 12/08/2009		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET				
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEAANDR	IA, VA 22314		L	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/567,921	Applicant(s) KURITA, TARO	
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AJITH JACOB	2161	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app. The Appeal Brief filed on <u>09 September 2009</u> is defection 41.37.			

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file-anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP

	03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. INSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1. 🗵	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🔲	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🔲	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🔲	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🔲	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🔲	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🔲	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	§ 41.37(c) (vii) states "Any claim argued separately should be placed under a subheading identifying the claim by number". For the arguments against claims 1-3, 5-8 and 10-12, the applicant has grouped the claims, but has argued claim 11 additionally, but not placed it under a new heading. Applicant also hasn't numbered the headings from "Claim Appendix" onwards and each item for the brief is not listed on a separate page as required.
\ри M	Mofiz/ /Ajith Jacob/
	B B . 1 . A . 11 . 0 . 0

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161

Examiner, Art Unit 2161